

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/603,530 | 06/25/2003 | Sophie Wastiaux | Serie 6126 | 2185 | |
| 7590 08/16/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Linda K. Russell | | | COOKE, COLLEEN P | | |
| Air Liquide 2700 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 1800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Houston, TX 77056 | | | 1754 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/603,530 | WASTIAUX ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Colleen P. Cooke | 1754 | | |

| | | Colleen P. Cooke | 1/54 | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING D | ATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 Aug | ust 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| this application, application | er a final rejection, but prior to or on eant must timely file one of the follow in condition for allowance; (2) a No ed Examination (RCE) in compliance | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply b) The period for reply no event, however, Examiner Note: If be | expires 3 months from the mailing date expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A will the statutory period for reply expire lab x 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obta have been filed is the date for p under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calcula set forth in (b) above, if checke | ained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date purposes of determining the period of extend from: (1) the expiration date of the sd. Any reply received by the Office later term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal filing the Notice of Ap | was filed on A brief in compoeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amend (a) They raise new (b) They raise the is (c) They are not de appeal; and/or | Iment(s) filed after a final rejection, lissues that would require further conssue of new matter (see NOTE beloemed to place the application in bet | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); | |
| 4. The amendments are 5. Applicant's reply has 6. Newly proposed or a | rached. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3 not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 overcome the following rejection(s) mended claim(s) would be al | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co: | · | |
| how the new or amend The status of the claim | al, the proposed amendment(s): a) ded claims would be rejected is provin(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| because applicant fail | evidence filed after a final action, bu ed to provide a showing of good and ted. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| entered because the a showing a good and s | evidence filed after the date of filing affidavit or other evidence failed to oufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIL | | | • | |
| see attached. | nsideration has been considered bu | | | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached in 13. Other: | formation Disclosure Statement(s). | (r 10/56/06 of P10-1449) Paper N | NU(S) | <i>,</i> 0 |
| | | | Colleen P Cooke | win |

Colleen P Cooke Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1754 Application/Control Number: 10/603,530 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

Advisory Action

Continuation of 3(a, b, and c):

The proposed amendment to claim 22, the sole independent claim currently under a final grounds of rejection, adds a newly claimed limitation. Because the limitation is newly claimed and has not been treated on the art previously, it raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The proposed amendment also at least raises the question of new matter and whether or not there is sufficient support in the specification for the new limitation; in addition to the possibility of new matter as an issue in and of itself, it also raises the new issue just in considering whether the support is sufficient or not. Lastly, the newly proposed claim limitations does not appear to place the claim in any better form for appeal as it does not materially reduce or simplify the issues for appeal.

Continuation of 11:

The applicant's request for reconsideration is based solely upon the proposed amendment. As this amendment has not been entered, these arguments are moot.

It is further noted that the applicant's arguments addressed only one grounds of rejection while there are two separate grounds of rejection in the Final Rejection.

.